# ANALYZING TRANSLATION ERRORS IN JAPANESE LANGUAGE EDUCATION STUDENTS' THESIS ABSTRACTS USING WADDINGTON'S METHOD A

# Ketut Gede Adi Putra Laksana<sup>1\*</sup>, Sulis Triyono<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Master of Applied Linguistics, Faculty of Languages, Arts, and Cultures, Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

ketutgede.2024@student.uny.ac.id<sup>1\*</sup>, sulis.triyono@uny.ac.id<sup>2</sup>

Received: 06-06-2025 Accepted: 29-09-2025 Published: 03-11-2025

Abstract: This study examines the translation quality of thesis abstracts written by students in the Japanese Language Education program at Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha, Indonesia. This research uses using qualitative descriptive approach that uses Waddington's (2001) Method A of Translation Quality Assessment to evaluate the accuracy of students' Japanese translations of Indonesian abstracts. The dataset comprises 12 texts of bilingual abstracts analyzed using a single-rating analysis approach to identify types and severity of translation errors by using a data card. Ten error types were identified, with faux sense emerging as the most frequent and severe (-23), followed by style and grammatical errors (-15). These results indicate that students still struggle with expressing ideas naturally in Japanese and often fail to fully grasp the intended meaning of the source text. The findings suggest that while students demonstrate foundational skills in academic writing, additional training in translation strategies is necessary. Implications of this study suggest that the study has demonstrated the effectiveness of Waddington's A-Method as a practical diagnostic tool for assessing students' translation skills, providing evidencebased insights for improving teaching in translation practice. It also highlights the insights for improving teaching in translation practice and highlights the need to strengthen training in genre-specific academic writing and crosscultural transfer. Future research is recommended to explore longitudinal research in academic translation development, integrate peer and AI-assisted feedback for translation assessment and evaluation, and compare with other quality assessment models to enhance learning outcomes in translation education.

**Keywords:** Translation error; thesis abstracts; student writing; Waddington's framework; Japanese translation

 $\odot$ 

#### INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the growing demand for accurate and effective translation in academic settings has increasingly emphasized the quality of student-generated translations, particularly in higher education institutions offering foreign language education. In Language Education, Japanese linguistic and cultural nuances are crucial, translation accuracy becomes a matter of linguistic transfer and a reflection of crosscultural communicative competence. (Berns, 2013; Choi et al., 2012; Munday et al., 2022). Among various academic texts, thesis abstracts significantly represent students' ability to summarize complex ideas into coherent and accessible Japanese texts, often aimed at an international readership.

Previous studies on translation quality assessment have employed a range of models. (Margareth et al., 2025; Romadhon, 2025; Schön et al., 2025). While these

models offer comprehensive frameworks, often require complex development and extensive training for raters or are more suitable for professional translation rather than academic student work. the models, Waddington's Among framework (2001) has gained prominence in educational contexts due to its practical and pedagogical orientation. Method A, which focuses on identifying and categorizing translation errors based on linguistic and communicative severity, has proven helpful for diagnosing specific areas of student difficulty in translation tasks. (Güldal & Tarakcıoğlu, 2025).

In addition to Waddington (2001), other approaches, such as the Holistic and Analytical Scoring model (Schiaffino & Zearo, 2006), Multidimensional Quality Metrics (MQM), and the rubric of the American Translators Association (ATA), are also known in the professional world

(Aeni et al., 2024). However, these models are often designed to assess the work of professional translators, not students who are in the process of learning. Therefore, the complexity of the criteria and the need for intensive training make these models less suitable for application in the context of undergraduate foreign language education, especially in Indonesia. In this context, Waddington's Method A offers a more userfriendly and adaptable approach to providing direct feedback on student performance. By focusing on the type and severity of errors, this method allows lecturers and students to evaluate translation results more objectively and systematically.

However, applying Waddington's (2001) Method A to Japanese to Indonesian academic texts written by undergraduate students in Indonesia is a novel approach. Existing research tends to focus on general translation tasks rather than academic genres such as thesis abstracts, which demand higher-order summarizing and formal writing skills. This novel approach presents an exciting opportunity to bridge the gap in applying diagnostic translation assessment tools within the context of Japanese Language Education in Indonesia.

Translation-related research has been extensive, contributing to understanding the transition of first language competence (Aremu, 2024) and translation techniques in the context of children's literature (Latingara et al., 2023). However, these two studies have not specifically highlighted translation errors in the academic domain of foreign language learners. In contrast, this study focuses on identifying and analyzing translation errors using Waddington's Method A framework, which emphasizes evaluating the quality of the translation results. This approach allows for more detailed observation of the linguistic aspects and the application of translation strategies in formal academic contexts. (Hatim & Munday, 2019). Thus, this study complements previous studies with a sharper focus on the quality of scientific abstract

translations by students.

This study seeks to fill that gap by applying Waddington's (2001) Method A to evaluate the Japanese translations of thesis abstracts written by Japanese Language Education students at Ganesha University of Education. This research is important to conduct because students of the Japanese Language Education study program are required to translate their thesis abstracts from Indonesian into Japanese after being informed to have passed the Akademikku Nihongo courses according Independent Learning-Independent Campus (MBKM) Curriculum 2020. This process is a challenge because even though students have received theoretical and practical learning in academic writing, not all have adequate translation competence, especially in the formal academic context of Japanese.

Implementing the MBKM policy in foreign language education (Fikni et al., 2024; Rafiga et al., 2023; Widyartono et al., 2025) also affects the form and burden of students' final assignments, including the obligation to independently translate abstracts into a foreign language. In this context, Ganesha University of Education standard that students must sets a competence demonstrate academic Japanese writing as part of the final assessment. However, lacking technical guidelines or formal translation assessment rubrics means that translation quality standards vary widely between individuals and supervisors.

Based on preliminary observations, several categories of errors can be identified in student abstracts. These include *faux sense* (plausible but inaccurate meaning) and *counter-sense* (reversing the original meaning of the source text), as well as style, grammar, and lexical inaccuracy that seen on translation issues. These errors can affect students' academic credibility and the quality of cross-language scientific communication (Romadhon, 2025). Therefore, analysis of translation errors is important to identify

areas of weakness and provide a basis for developing a more effective translation pedagogy, with the potential to significantly enhance the quality of cross-language scientific communication. By systematically identifying and analyzing translation errors, this research aims to highlight patterns of transfer issues and provide linguistic practical insights into the pedagogical implications for translation training in Japanese programs, language directly benefiting educators and students alike.

Based on initial observations, several factors cause dominant errors in faux sense and lexical inaccuracy categories. Faux sense in translation is a type of translation error in which a word or phrase is mistranslated after translation, differing from its original meaning in the source text. It often occurs because of differences in meaning within the context of the source language sentence. Moreover, counter-sense in translation is a situation where the translator consciously reverse or reorder the original meaning of the source text (ST) to make it suitable for the target language (TL). The goal is to ensure that the translated message remains accurate and natural for the target reader.

These two aspects indicate translation errors in students' abstract texts, as identified in preliminary studies. First, a weak understanding of the discourse context causes students to translate literally. Second, the lack of exposure to the Japanese academic language style causes translated sentences to sound artificial or unnatural. Third, limited experience using technical terms in their field of study makes it difficult for students to choose equivalent words. In addition, the tendency to maintain the sentence structure of Indonesian also causes the translation results to lose the formal academic nuances common in Japanese. Identifying this pattern is important for designing more specific and measurable learning interventions.

Based on these findings, academic Japanese language teaching needs to be

equipped with translation modules based on academic genres, intensive training related to Japanese scientific writing style, and the introduction of translation assessment rubrics from the beginning. Lecturers can also integrate a multi-layered revision process and peer review to strengthen students' self-evaluation skills on their translation results. (Salter & Kothari, 2016). Applying minicorpus-based exercises, namely by providing a collection of original academic abstracts and their translations, can be one practical method to increase students' sensitivity to word choice, register, and sentence structure. (Hatipoğlu, 2019).

In the end, this study aims to evaluate the quality of Japanese translations of thesis abstracts by identifying and categorizing the translation errors made by Japanese Language Education students using Waddington's (2001) Method A.

#### **METHOD**

#### **Research Method**

This research employed a descriptive qualitative design using Waddington's (2001) Method A of Translation Quality Assessment (TQA). The dataset consisted of twelve bilingual thesis abstracts (Indonesian Japanese) written by students of the Japanese Language Education Study Program at Ganesha University of Education in 2024. The units of analysis included words, phrases, clauses, and sentences that reflect aspects of translation quality. Data was collected through documentation and analyzed by identifying and categorizing translation errors according to Waddington's framework.

The abstracts were produced as part of the students' graduation requirements, following the completion of *Akademikku Nihongo* courses according to the MBKM Curriculum 2020. Each abstract reflects the students' ability to convey academic content across languages, offering valuable insight into the challenges of translating from Indonesian to Japanese. The details of the thesis topics and their respective research

areas are presented in Table 1 below.

Table 1. Student's Abstract List

Student Code	Title	Primary Research
S1	Representasi Budaya Jepang	Culture
	dalam Game Ensemble Stars	
S2	Proses Adaptasi Budaya	Education
	Peserta Magang Kerja LPK	
	Aska Sangket di Jepang	
S3	Analisis Terjemahan	Translation
	Indonesia–Jepang pada	
	Lirik Lagu "Indahnya	
S4	Dunia"	Education
54	Analisis Pembelajaran Bahasa Jepang di Kelas	Education
	Kursus LPK Aska Bali	
	Klungkung	
S5	Profil Pembelajaran Bahasa	Education
~~	Jepang di Kelas Karantina	
	LPK Aska Bali Klungkung	
S6	Pengembangan Video	Education
	Pembelajaran Hiragana	
	Berbasis Metode Mnemonic	
S7	Analisis Penggunaan Gaya	Linguistics
	Bahasa pada Lirik Lagu	
	Jepang Karya KANA-	
S8	BOON Pengembangan Media	Education
30	Video Pembelajaran	Education
	Kosakata Bahasa Jepang	
	dengan Voice Over	
<b>S</b> 9	Representasi Wanita	Linguistics
	Tataraba dalam Film	C
	Princess Mononoke	
S10	Analisis Penggunaan	Linguistics
	Shuujoshi Danseigo oleh	
	Tokoh Pria dalam Anime	
011	Saiki Kusuo no Sai Nan	P.1
S11	Pengembangan Modul	Education
	Elektronik Interaktif sebagai Bahan Ajar Inovatif	
S12	Penerapan Model	Education
512	Pembelajaran Kooperatif	Laucanon
	Tipe STAD Berbantuan	
	Media Audio Visual	

Their diverse research topics, spanning education, linguistics, culture, and translation, provide a rich picture. Their involvement becomes a relevant and in-depth representation, enlightening us in evaluating the quality of academic translation.

# Waddington Method: A Framework

In this research, the abstracts are analyzed using Waddington's framework (2001),

which focuses on identifying and categorizing translation errors. In Table 2, a summary of the serious and minor errors that were adapted from Waddington's Method A is presented below. This table clarifies the error categories that will be addressed in the research.

Table 2. Serious and Minor Errors in Waddington's Method A

Factor		Aspect
Inappropriata	1.	Omission
Inappropriate	2.	Addition
rendering of the	3.	Nonsense
understanding	4.	Faux sense
Source Text (ST)	5.	Counter-sense
Inannuaniata	1.	Style
Inappropriate	2.	Text
rendering in the	3.	Lexicon
Target Language	4.	Grammar
(TL)	5.	Spelling
	1.	Main function of
Inadequate		ST
rendering	2.	Secondary
_		function of ST
Cardad Care	1.	+1 point
Good solutions	2.	+2 points

In this study, the Source Text (ST) refers to the Indonesian abstracts, while the Target Language (TL) refers to the Japanese translations. Error categories such as *faux sense* (plausible but inaccurate meaning) and *counter-sense* (reversing the meaning of the ST) are assigned positive or negative scores depending on the accuracy of rendering. Positive scores (+) indicate acceptable renderings, while negative scores (-) represent inaccuracies or translation mistakes.

# **Translation Scoring Criteria**

Evaluating students' abstracts using singlerater analysis. To ensure objectivity in this research, the error identification followed a two-stage procedure. In the first stage, potential errors at the word, phrase, clause, and sentence levels are determined based on data. In the second stage, each identified was cross-checked against instance Waddington's (2001) criteria to assess the error category and severity. Although no additional rater training was required, the researcher relied strictly on Waddington's rubric as the reference framework for evaluation. Reliability is maintained through systematic cross-verification with the rubric and repeated checking of the data to minimize subjectivity in error classification.

In Waddington's Method A, the evaluation applies a holistic scoring system on a 0–10 scale, emphasizing the accuracy of meaning transfer and the appropriateness of expression in the target language. For analysis, however, the identified errors were grouped and quantified to highlight their frequency and relative severity. The numerical values presented in the results (e.g., Omission = -6) should therefore not be interpreted as the number of words omitted but rather as aggregated scores derived from the frequency and impact of errors.

Table 3 presents the scoring system used in this study, adapted from Waddington (2001). This table clarifies the error categories and their respective weights before the presentation of results.

Table 3. Translation Scoring Criteria in Waddington's Method A

Error Type	Description	Example	Score
Omission	Missing	Key detail	-1 to
	information	omitted in	-6
	from ST	TL	
Addition	Unnecessary	Extra detail	-1 to
	information	is not	-4
	added in TL	present in ST	
Faux Sense	Plausible but	Writing	−2 to
	inaccurate	skills only	-23
	meaning	translate into	
		skills (loss	
		of nuance)	
Grammar/St	Unnatural or	Informal	−1 to
yle	incorrect TL	tone, wrong	-15
	expression	syntax	
Lexicon/Spe	Inaccurate	Approach	-1 to
lling	word choice	translated	-8
	or spelling	into 近づく	
	errors	instead of 手	
		法	
Counter-	Meaning the	solve	-1 to
Sense	opposite of	translated	-4
	ST	into explore	
Positive	Effective or	Accurate	+1 /
Points	elegant	restructuring	+2
	solutions	_	
	beyond the		

#### minimum demand

This scoring framework ensured transparency and consistency in interpreting the students' translation errors, while still maintaining alignment with the holistic nature of Method A.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 4 presents the results of this research that focuses on translation errors that appear in students' abstracts using the criteria of Waddington's Method A. The detailed data corresponding to translation errors identified in each of the twelve students' abstracts based on Waddington's Framework of Method A are presented in Appendix 1.

Table 4. Translation Error on Student's Abstract using Waddington's Framework of Method A

Factor	Aspect	Score
	Omission	-6
Inappropriate	Addition	-2
rendering of	Non-sense	0
understanding ST	Faux sense	-23
	Counter-sense	-4
	Style	-15
Inopproprieto	Text	-8
Inappropriate	Lexicon	-4
rendering on TL	Grammar	-15
	Spelling	-2
	Main function of	-2
In a da assata man damin a	ST	
Inadequate rendering	Secondary	0
	function of ST	
Good solutions	+1 point	0
GOOG SOIGHOIS	+2 points	0

Based on Table 4, the most dominant error in understanding the source text (ST) was identified as faux sense (-23). Other prominent errors were found in style and grammar (-15), followed by textual (-8), lexical (-4), omission (-6), and addition (-2). No instances of non-sense or counter-sense errors were observed in this research.

The result indicates the most dominant error in understanding the source text (ST) was identified as faux sense, with a score of -23. This relatively high negative value

indicates both greater frequency and severity compared to other error categories. A faux sense error refers to a misinterpretation of the ST that nevertheless appears plausible on the surface. Such errors are particularly problematic, as they may mislead readers by conveying an apparently reasonable but ultimately inaccurate meaning.

Other prominent errors were found in the areas of style and grammar in the target language (TL), each with a score of -15, suggesting a lack of conformity with Japanese linguistic norms in conveying messages. Errors in the textual (-8) and lexical (-4)domains appeared comparatively lower scores, while omission (-6) and addition (-2) of information from the ST were recorded less frequently. Interestingly, no instances of non-sense or counter-sense errors were observed, and there was no contribution from reasonably successful translation solutions (+1 or +2).

The acceptance of grammar and style errors (-15) significantly reduced readability in the translation text. In academic Japanese, it is not appropriate to use conversational expressions like 学生たちは頑張った. Instead, students are encouraged to use more educational and formal registers, such as 学 生は積極的に取り組んだ. This example demonstrates what Hatim and Munday (2019) refer to as a lack of naturalness and fluency in translation, in which the target text does not follow the academic genre's stylistic conventions. According to MQM, these register inconsistencies make the translation less readable and acceptable since it conveys casual rather than academic (Schiaffino & Zearo, 2006).

Similarly, the context became ambiguous due to the removal of essential modifiers, which the target readers found less acceptable. These results show that readability issues were caused by both a lack of knowledge about Japanese academic discourse conventions and human-made grammatical errors.

Taken together, these findings suggest

that while students were able to avoid producing completely meaningless or contradictory translations, the prevalence of faux sense errors highlights a hidden challenge in translation accuracy: conveying messages that appear correct yet subtly distort the intended meaning of the ST. This outcome underscores the need to strengthen students' comprehension of the ST and to develop greater sensitivity to stylistic and grammatical appropriateness in the TL, to enhance overall translation quality.

The high frequency of faux sense errors (-23) considerably decreased acceptability, even though most abstracts were still somewhat understandable because the target text frequently gave false impressions. Style and grammar mistakes also hurt readability (-15), making the abstracts sound out of place in academic Japanese writing.

Based the research on results conducted on students' abstract translation errors using Waddington's Method A framework, each form of error will be discussed based on the students' primary research interests, including education, linguistics, culture, and translation. This categorization helps highlight how the nature of the research topic may influence the complexity and type of translation challenges encountered. For instance. students researching in the field of education may struggle with technical pedagogical terms, while those in linguistics may face issues related to grammatical or stylistic accuracy.

By analyzing errors in relation to the topic domain, the study aims to provide a more contextualized understanding of translation difficulties. This approach also supports the development of targeted instructional strategies to improve students' translation competence in specific academic areas.

# Translation Errors Analysis *Education*

In education, the quality of abstract

translation is crucial because it serves as an initial representation of the content and purpose of the research. Based on the results of the analysis of several student abstracts that focus on the development of Japanese language learning media and strategies, it was found that many translation errors were in the minor errors category, with a final score ranging from -6 to -7 from a maximum limit of 0. The most common errors include.

#### **Faux Sense**

This type of error occurs when the translation appears grammatically correct but conveys a misleading or incorrect meaning. For example, in one abstract, the phrase "この研究は学生の能力を高めることを目的としています" was used to translate "This study aims to improve students' skills", but the context of the original text referred specifically to writing skills, which was omitted, leading to a vague and misleading interpretation.

#### **Grammar and Word Order**

Errors in this category often involve incorrect sentence structure or unnatural word arrangement in Japanese. An example is the translation "教育の質を向上させるために、研究が行われました", which, while understandable, places the focus awkwardly. A more natural rendering would be "本研究は、教育の質を向上させることを目的として実施されました".

# Style and Register

Academic writing in Japanese requires a formal and objective tone. Some abstracts used overly casual or conversational expressions, such as "学生たちは頑張った", which is inappropriate in academic contexts. A more suitable phrase would be "学生は積極的に取り組んだ".

#### **Lexical Choice**

Inappropriate word selection can lead to ambiguity or loss of meaning. For instance, translating "approach" as " 近づく" instead of the more contextually appropriate "アプローチ" or " 手 法 " confused the

methodological aspect of the study.

The analysis of abstracts in the field of education reveals that while the translation quality is generally acceptable, minor errors persist and affect clarity and formality. These include issues such as faux sense, grammatical inaccuracies, inappropriate word order, and mismatched style and register, which often stem from a lack of sensitivity to academic conventions in Japanese. Although the intended meaning is often preserved, the lack of specificity and formality can reduce the professionalism and of the abstract. Therefore, strengthening students' understanding of academic tone, lexical precision, syntactic structure is essential to enhance the overall quality of educational research translation.

# Linguistic

In linguistics, accuracy and sensitivity to meaning are very important, mainly when translating technical terms such as figurative language, particles, or rhetorical structures. Based on the analysis of student abstracts that raised the theme of linguistics, it was found that most of the translation errors were included in the serious errors category, with final scores ranging from -6 to -8. Some prominent error patterns include.

#### **Faux Sense and Counter-Sense**

This error occurs when the translated meaning seems correct but is deviant or even the opposite of the original intent. For example, the sentence "この研究は学生の問題を解決することを目的としています" is translated as "This study aims to explore students' challenges," whereas the words "解決する" (to solve) indicate a more active meaning than simply exploring.

#### **Omission and Addition**

Some abstracts show omissions or additions of information that is not in the source text. For example, in the translation of "学生の意見を分析しました", it is not mentioned that the analysis was conducted through interviews, even though this information is

important in the original version.

# **Inappropriate Lexicon and Style**

This study also found that the use of vocabulary and language styles is inappropriate for academic contexts. For example, the word "すごい" in the sentence "すごい結果が得られた" sounds too informal and is not suitable for academic writing; "有意義な結果" or "重要な結果" should be used.

# **Inadequate Rendering of Main Function**

Some translations fail to convey the primary function of the text, such as the purpose of the research or scientific contribution. For example, the sentence "この研究は教育に役立つ" is too general and does not reflect the specific purpose described in the Indonesian version, which is to improve academic writing skills.

The translation errors found in student abstracts with a linguistics focus highlight the importance of precision, contextual appropriate awareness. and academic language use in Japanese academic writing. The prevalence of serious errors such as faux sense, omission, inappropriate lexicon, and unclear articulation of research purpose demonstrates that even students familiar with linguistic theory may struggle to apply it effectively in cross-language academic contexts. These findings underscore the need for more targeted translation training that emphasizes semantic accuracy, academic register, and genre-specific conventions. Addressing these issues is essential to ensure that students not only understand linguistic principles but can also implement them competently in bilingual academic communication.

#### **Cultures**

In the culture field, translation requires linguistic accuracy and sensitivity to the social, symbolic, and cultural contexts inherent in the source text. Based on the results of the analysis of abstracts discussing the representation of Japanese culture in popular media, such as games, it was found

that translation errors tend to appear in the form of additional meanings, inappropriate terminology, and less formal language styles. Some of the main findings include.

#### **Addition**

Additional errors were identified when students inserted cultural references or implications that were not present in the original Indonesian abstract. For instance, one abstract included the sentence "この研 究は日本の教育制度にも参考になる". suggesting relevance to the Japanese education system, which was never mentioned in the source text. This addition shifts the scope of the research and introduces unintended cultural assumptions. inaccuracies can mislead readers compromise the integrity of the translation.

# **Inappropriate Lexicon**

Lexical choices that failed to reflect the cultural context of the original text were also observed. A common example was the translation of "contextual challenges" as "文 化的な問題", which inaccurately framed the issue as cultural rather than situational. This misinterpretation alters the reader's understanding of the research focus. Selecting culturally appropriate vocabulary is essential to preserve the intended meaning.

#### **Style and Register**

Several translations used informal or conversational expressions that were inappropriate for academic writing in Japanese. For example, the phrase "面白い 発見があった"was used to describe research findings, which sounds too casual for scholarly contexts. A more suitable alternative would be "興味深い知見が得ら れた", which maintains the expected academic tone. Proper use of style and register ensures clarity and professionalism in academic communication.

# **Inadequate Rendering of Cultural Function**

Some translations failed to convey culturally significant concepts from the source text, resulting in a loss of meaning. For instance,

the Indonesian term "gotong royong", which reflects a deep-rooted cultural value of communal cooperation, was translated simply as "協力". While "協力" means cooperation, it lacks the cultural depth and social nuance of "gotong royong". Preserving such cultural elements is crucial to maintaining the authenticity and richness of the original message.

The analysis of abstracts in the cultural field highlights the importance of cultural sensitivity and precision in translation, especially when dealing with texts that involve symbolic meanings and sociocultural references. The most frequent issues unnecessary found include additions, misused cultural terms, informal language, and inadequate translation of culturally loaded expressions. These errors, while sometimes subtle, can significantly alter the intended message and reduce the authenticity of the cultural representation. Ensuring accurate and context-aware translation is essential to uphold the integrity of crosscultural academic communication. Thus, translators must be trained not only in linguistic competence but also in cultural literacy to effectively bridge meaning across contexts.

#### Translation

In translation, students are expected not only to be able to transfer meaning from source languages to target languages but also to acknowledge the basic principles translation, such as equivalence of meaning, cultural context, and style. Based on the analysis of abstracts that focus on translating song lyrics, it was found that translation errors tend to be conceptual terminological, with final scores ranging from -6 to -7. Some prominent error patterns include.

#### **Inappropriate Lexicon and Omission**

In this abstract, we find the use of foreign terms such as semantic, communicative, and free that are not translated into Japanese, for example, in the sentence "使用される翻訳方法は3つあり、semantic communicative、

free  $\ensuremath{\,\succeq\,} \ensuremath{\,\vee\,} \ensuremath{\,\supset\,} \ensuremath{\,\subset\,} \ensuremath{\,\succeq\,} \ensuremath{\,\subset\,} \ensuremath{\,\supset\,} \ensuremath{\,\subset\,} \ensuremath{\,\supset\,} \ensuremath{\,\subset\,} \ensuremath{\,\supset\,} \ensuremath{\,\supset\,}$ 

#### **Faux Sense and Counter-Sense**

Faux sense errors occur when technical terms are translated literally without considering the corresponding Japanese equivalents. For example, "paraphrase using unrelated words" is translated as "paraphrase using unrelated words" without any adjustment to the structure or meaning in Japanese. This lack of adjustment can lead to losing the original text's integrity. Errors such as these highlight the need for translators to make necessary adjustments to maintain the original text's meaning in translation studies.

# **Inadequate Rendering of Main Function**

Some parts of the abstract do not explicitly explain the primary function of the research, specifically the relationship translation methods and strategies. For instance, sentences like "翻訳方法に使用 される翻訳戦略はさまざまである" descriptive but do not demonstrate how methods influence strategies. Understanding logical structures like this is important in academic writing. It also underscores the need for educators to provide guidance in conveying scientific contributions systematically, as students still require this support.

# **Style and Format**

The sentence structure in the Japanese version still follows many Indonesian language patterns, making it feel unnatural. For example, the sentence "データ収集は、リスニングとメモ取りの手法を使用して実行された" sounds like a direct translation and is not in the Japanese academic writing style. In addition, punctuation and paragraph separation do not follow the conventions of scientific writing in

Japanese. This issue indicates the need for further training in academic writing styles and appropriate formats for the target language.

The analysis of abstracts in the field of translation reveals that many errors stem from a lack of understanding of key insufficient theoretical concepts and adaptation to the conventions of Japanese academic writing. Conceptual issues such as misuse of technical terms, omission of core theoretical elements, and improper rendering of the research's main function significantly affect the clarity and credibility of the translated text. Structural and stylistic errors, including literal translation patterns and format inconsistencies, further emphasize the students' need for deeper exposure to translation theory and practice.

These findings underscore the importance of reinforcing translation pedagogy with more contextual, analytical, and language-specific training. Strengthening these areas can help students develop translations that are both accurate and academically appropriate.

Based on the four findings in the fields of linguistics, education, culture, and translation, it can be concluded that translation errors in students' abstracts are not only related to lexical or grammatical limitations but also reflect a lack of understanding of academic language style, the primary function of scientific texts, and sensitivity to cultural and theoretical contexts. The implications of these findings suggest that teaching academic writing in a bilingual context (Indonesian-Japanese) needs to be strengthened, not only through mastery of academic Japanese language structures but also through the integration of academic genre-based translation materials, register and style awareness training, and crosscultural and cross-disciplinary meaning adjustment practices.

Learning should involve evaluating translated texts that are directly related to **CONCLUSION** 

students' research fields so that they can link academic content to the appropriate language form. However, it is crucial to emphasize the need for more in-depth feedback and structured revision formats that train students to reassess their translations' meaning, function, and accuracy. This teaching approach is expected to improve the quality of bilingual scientific writing and prepare students to face international academic standards.

Overall, these findings reinforce that the translation errors among Japanese Language Education students are not limited to surface-level lexical or grammatical mistakes but also involve deeper challenges of style, register, and textual function. This study found the dominance of faux sense errors in which confirms Romadhon's (2025) findings, which also identified lexicosemantic issues as the most frequent error types in student abstract translations. The current research highlights stylistic issues in translation as equally problematic when translating academic genre-based texts, in contrast to Romadhon's study, which concentrated on lexical inaccuracy translation issues.

Additionally, Hatim and Munday (2019) argue that translation proficiency necessitates more than merely applying the stylistic and grammatical rules of the target and language transferring semantics. appropriate Achieving and successful translations requires this dual Furthermore, this study demonstrates that deeper problems cannot be easily resolved with the support of machine translation (AI or NLP). It highlights the need for translation pedagogical training, such as integrating genre-based translation practice, academic register awareness, in contrast to Aeni et al. (2024), who highlighted the potential of AIassisted translation to reduce surface-level errors.

This study successfully identified and

categorized the types of translation errors in Japanese abstracts of Education students at Ganesha University of Education using Waddington's Method A (2001). The most dominant types of errors include false meaning (faux sense), inappropriate lexical choices, and failure to adequately convey the source text's primary function. This error pattern shows that although students have a basic understanding of academic style in Japanese, they still face difficulties in conveying meaning accurately and naturally, especially when writing academic genres such as thesis abstracts.

The main contribution of this study lies in strengthening the study of translation quality in educational contexts. By applying a structured evaluation framework, this study provides empirical findings that can be used to improve the curriculum, especially in translation and academic writing courses for Japanese language learners. Pedagogically, the results of this study emphasize the importance of more targeted training in translation strategies, understanding academic registers, and writing by the function of the text. In fact, this study also proves that Waddington's Method A is effective as a diagnostic tool for comprehensively measuring the quality of students' translations.

For further development, this study recommends a longitudinal study to track the development of students' translation skills over time, as well as a comparative study using other evaluation frameworks such as MQM (Multidimensional Quality Metrics) or ATA rubrics. In addition, integrating peer review methods and using artificial intelligence-based technology can be an innovative approach to improving translation accuracy and fluency in academic contexts. These approaches support more effective translation teaching and prepare students to face challenges in the multilingual academic and professional world.

#### **REFERENCES**

Aeni, R., Putera, L. J., & Melani, B. Z. (2024). The

- accuracy of ChatGPT in translating linguistics text in scientific journals. *Didaktik: Jurnal Ilmiah PGSD STKIP Subang*, 10(1), 59–68.
- Aremu, A. O. (2024). Assessing L1 Competence Decline among Yoruba-English Bilingual Undergraduates at Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto. *TRANS-KATA: Journal of Language, Literature, Culture and Education*, 4(2), 117-131.
- Berns, M. (2013). Contexts of competence: Social and cultural considerations in communicative language teaching. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Choi, J., Kushner, K. E., Mill, J., & Lai, D. W. (2012). Understanding the language, the culture, and the experience: Translation in cross-cultural research. *International Journal of Oualitative Methods*, 11(5), 652–665.
- Fikni, Z., Surayya, S. A., Sholihah, P. H., & Husnu, M. (2024). An Analysis of Differentiated Learning Strategies in the Implementation of Kurikulum Merdeka Belajar in Teaching English Writing Skills. *Journey: Journal of English Language and Pedagogy*, 7(2), 199–207.
- Güldal, B. K., & Tarakcıoğlu, A. Ö. (2025). A Suggestion of Translation Quality Assessment Model: Translation Errors and Preferences in Research Article Abstracts in the Context of Academic Translation. *Turkish Studies-Language & Literature*, 20(1).
- Hatim, B., & Munday, J. (2019). *Translation: An advanced resource book for students*. Routledge.
- Hatipoğlu, Ç. (2019). Language teaching and assessment policies in the Ottoman-Turkish Society: A historical and sociocultural analysis.
- Latingara, N. H., Napu, N., & Saud, J. (2023). The Analysis of Translation Techniques in Translating Fairytale Story "The Adventure of Pinocchio" (English-Indonesian Version). TRANS-KATA: Journal of Language, Literature, Culture and Education, 3(2), 84-92.
- Margareth, A., Sukardjo, M., & Situmorang, R. (2025).

  The Evaluation and Comparison of Translation Technologies on the Learning Outcomes of Legal Text Translation Studies.

  LEARN Journal: Language Education and Acquisition Research Network, 18(1), 568–503
- Munday, J., Pinto, S. R., & Blakesley, J. (2022). *Introducing translation studies: Theories and applications*. Routledge.
- Rafiqa, R., Aswad, M., Asfihana, R., & Singh, A. K. J.

- (2023). Implementation of "Merdeka Belajar": Evolving Learner Autonomy and Speaking Skill through Cultural Discovery Learning Model. *Script Journal: Journal of Linguistics and English Teaching*, 8(1), 54–72.
- Romadhon, R. (2025). Lost In Translation: A Critical Analysis Of Errors In Abstract Translations By Vocational Accounting Students. *Journal of English Language and Culture*, 15(1).
- Salter, K. L., & Kothari, A. (2016). Knowledge 'Translation'as social learning: Negotiating the uptake of research-based knowledge in practice. *BMC Medical Education*, *16*, 1–10.
- Schiaffino, R., & Zearo, F. (2006). Developing and using a translation quality index. *Multilingual, July/August*.
- Schön, S., Brünner, B., Ebner, M., Edelsbrunner, S., Hohla-Sejkora, K., & Uhl, B. (2025). Early findings from pilots in AI-driven education: Effects of AI-generated courses and videos on learning and teaching. In 2024 Yearbook Emerging Technologies in Learning (pp. 23–44). Springer.
- Waddington, C. (2001). Different methods of evaluating student translations: The question of validity. Retrieved from: http://id.erudit.org/iderudit/004583a
- Widyartono, D., Basuki, I. A., & Ivana, L. (2025). Implementation of Hypnoteaching Principles in Learning Indonesian Text Writing Merdeka Curriculum: Literature Review. KEMBARA: Jurnal Keilmuan Bahasa, Sastra, Dan Pengajarannya, 11(1).